

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/544.896 04/07/2000 Brian Mitchell Bass RAL9-2000-0019-US1 1388 25299 7590 04/06/2004 EXAMINER IBM CORPORATION VOLPER, THOMAS E PO BOX 12195 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709 2665 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | A |
|---|--|--------------|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Commence | 09/544,896 | BASS ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Thomas Volper | 2665 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 09/544,896

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allen, Jr. et al. (US 6,404,752).

The applied reference has common inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Allen discloses a plurality of network processors and at least one control point unit, and wherein each control point unit is directly connected to a different

Application/Control Number: 09/544,896

Art Unit: 2665

network processor, each of said network processors having at least three pico processors, one of which is a guided cell handler, one of which is a guided table handler, and the rest of which are general data handlers (col. 5, lines 22; col. 5, line 66 - col. 6, line 22; col. 7, lines 22-27; col. 21, line 60 - col. 22, line 15). Allen also discloses that control, or guided, frames are identified and treated independently from data frames (col. 7, lines 16 - 67). In addition, Allen discloses that two or more interface devices, or network processors, may be tied together (col. 5, lines 14-26). An optional switching fabric device allows the processors on more than one blade to communicate frames to one another.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Allen discloses a guided tree handler that performs the functions of tree building operations (col. 22, lines 11-15).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 13 and 14, Allen discloses that each embedded processor has a path to every other processor (see Fig. 13; col. 24, lines 36-46).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Allen discloses that the guided cell handler can function as a general data handler (col. 21, line 64 – col. 22, line 2).

Regarding claims 6-8 and 16-18, Allen discloses that the general cell handler on the blade locally attached to the control point examines a guided frame to determine whether the frame is intended for other blades, or the blade that is locally attached to the control point (col. 14, line 65 – col. 15, lines 11).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Allen discloses a plurality of general data handlers (col. 21, line 60).

Page 4

Regarding claims 10 and 20, Allen discloses that some guided frame commands require responses, which are forwarded to the appropriate port on the appropriate interface device (col. 7, lines 40-54).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Volper whose telephone number is 703-305-8405 and fax number is 703-746-9467. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:30am and 6:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached at 703-308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Thomas E. Volper

April 1, 2004

STEVEN H.D NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER